arXiv:1701.01287v1 [math.AT] 5 Jan 2017

# CANNIBALISTIC CLASSES OF STRING BUNDLES

GERD LAURES AND MARTIN OLBERMANN

Abstract. We introduce cannibalistic classes for string bundles with values in $TMF$ with level structures. This allows us to compute the Morava $E$-homology of all maps from the bordism spectrum $MString$ to $TMF$ with level structures.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

Suppose $E$ is a cohomology theory and $V$ is a bundle over a space $X$ which is equipped with a Thom class $\tau$ with respect to $E$. Then for each stable operation $\psi^g$ in $E$-theory one obtains a cannibalistic class $\theta^g(V)$ by the formula

$$\psi^g(\tau) \quad = \quad \theta^g(V)\tau. \tag{1.1}$$

For example, for singular cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_2$ the cannibalistic class $\theta^k$ associated to the Steenrod square $Sq^k$ is given by the $k$th Stiefel-Whitney class. Another example arises in real and complex $K$-theory for Adams operations (see [Bot62]). In the complex case, the cannibalistic classes of a line bundle $L$ can be computed via the formula

$$\theta^g(L) \quad = \quad \frac{\psi^g(x)}{x} \tag{1.2}$$

where $x$ is the Euler class. The cannibalistic classes play an important role in the investigation of bordism invariants and spherical fibrations.

In this paper, we are interested in cannibalistic classes for string bundles with values in the spectrum of topological modular forms or in Morava $E$-theory. We will show how they are related to the string characteristic classes defined in [LO16]. This enables us to compute the $E$-homology of maps form the string bordism spectrum to the spectrum of topological modular forms with level structures.

We will now describe the results in more detail. In the $K(2)$-local category at the prime 2 the spectrum $TMF(3)$ of topological modular forms with respect to $\Gamma(3)$ coincides with the Morava $E$-theory spectrum $E_2$ and there is a continuous action of the Morava stabilizer group $\mathbb{G}$ on $E_2$. Moreover, string manifolds have a natural Thom class with values in $TMF(3)$ and in all of its fixed point spectra for finite subgroups of $\mathbb{G}$. This is known as the Witten orientation [AHR]. Hence, there are stable cannibalistic classes $\theta^g$ for string bundles and for all elements of $\mathbb{G}$.

In [LO16], all $TMF_i(3)$-characteristic classes for string bundles have been computed for $i = 0, 1$. It turned out that they are generated by Pontryagin classes and one more class $r$ which, in the complex setting, measures the difference between the complex orientation from the elliptic curve and the Witten orientation. The

*Date*: January 6, 2017.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N34; Secondary 55P20, 22E66.

following formula relates this class for $U\langle 5\rangle$-bundles and the cannibalistic class $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complex orientation:

$$\theta^g \quad = \quad q_0^g r^{det(g)} \theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, $q_0^g$ is a specific $SU$-characteristic class which describes the difference between the cubical structures of the underlying elliptic curves and its perturbation by $g$. It will be computed in more detail in section 3. The complex cannibalistic class $\theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g$ satisfies the formula 1.2 above. We will prove a splitting principle for string bundles which reduces the calculation of the string cannibalistic classes to (1.3).

The formula allows us to compute the Morava $E$-homology of all maps from the Thom spectrum $MString$ to $TMF_i(3)$. In [LO16] and [Lau16] it was shown that the cohomology of $MString$ is topologically freely generated by $TMF_i(3)$-Pontryagin classes and the class $r$ described above. The precise formulas in terms of the dual generators are given in section 4.

These results will prove useful in the investigation of indecomposables of $String$-bordism. We think that in the $K(2)$-local category there is an additive splitting of the form

$$MString \cong TMF \vee \bigvee_{i\in I} \Sigma^{n_i} TMF_{\Gamma_i}$$

for some level structures $\Gamma_i$. The computations in this work are all very explicit and can help to find the correct index set $I$. However, the only class which prevents us to set up the splitting formula is the class $q_0$ described above. It seems to be hard to write down in a closed form in high dimensions.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Craig Westerland and Thomas Nikolaus for helpful discussions.

## 2. $TMF$ with level structure and characteristic classes

In this section we recall the defintion of the characteristic classes in the spectrum of topological modular forms with level structures. We refer the reader to the articles [MR09], [Lau16] and [LO16] for an account on the spectra

$$T_i = TMF_i(3)$$

for $i \in \{\emptyset, 0, 1\}$.

Consider the supersingular elliptic curve in Weierstrass from

$$C: \quad y^2 + y = x^3$$

over $\mathbb{F}_4$. There is a Lubin-Tate spectrum $E_2$ (also called Morava $E$-theory) associated to the formal group $\hat{C}$ with coefficients

$$E_{2*} = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]].$$

Let $\mathbb{G}_2$ be the automorphism group of $\hat{C} \to Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4$ and let $G$ be the one of $C \to Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4$ (i.e. automorphisms are commutative squares). There is an isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_2 \cong \mathbb{S}_2 \rtimes Gal(\mathbb{F}_4 : \mathbb{F}_2)$, where the subgroup $\mathbb{S}_2$ corresponds to commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{C} & \xrightarrow{g} & \hat{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{=} & Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4, \end{array}$$

and the Galois group acts via the Frobenius, which gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{C} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \hat{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4 & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Spec\ \mathbb{F}_4. \end{array}$$

Abstractly, the group $\mathbb{S}_2$ can be described as the group of units in the maximal order

$$End(\hat{C}) = \emptyset_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2\{\omega, i, j, k\}$$

of the 2-adic quaternion algebra

$$End(\hat{C})\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] = D_2 = \mathbb{Q}_2\{1, i, j, k\}.$$

Here $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(-1 - i - j - k)$ such that $\omega^2 = -\omega - 1, \omega^3 = 1$. One computes that $\omega i \omega^{-1} = j, \omega j \omega^{-1} = k, \omega k \omega^{-1} = i$. Note that we do not make a notational difference between the endomorphisms of $\hat{C}$ and the endomorphisms of the Honda formal group law; these rings are isomorphic (see also [Bea15]). A detailed account on the Morava stabilizer group can be found in the appendix.

The group $G$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_2$ and has order 48. The automorphisms $-1, \omega, i, j, k$ arise from automorphisms of $C$ over the identity of $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]$:

- $-1$ corresponds to mapping each point on the curve to its negative, i.e. $x \mapsto x, y \mapsto y + 1$,
- $\omega$ corresponds to $x \mapsto \alpha x, y \mapsto y$, and to the automorphism $g(x) = \alpha x$ of the formal group,
- $i$ corresponds to $x \mapsto x + 1, y \mapsto x + y + \alpha$.

The Frobenius map $\sigma$ is the generator of $Gal(\mathbb{F}_4 : \mathbb{F}_2)$, it acts via $x \mapsto x^2, y \mapsto y^2$ on $C$ as an automorphism over the Frobenius map of $\mathbb{F}_4$.

Note that $G \cong G_{24} \rtimes Gal(\mathbb{F}_4 : \mathbb{F}_2)$, where $G_{24}$ is generated by $-1, \omega, i, j, k$, and $G_{24} \cong Q_8 \rtimes C_3$, where $C_3$ is generated by $\omega$ and $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$.

The group of $\mathbb{F}_4$-points of $C$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2$. Via the induced action of $G$ we obtain an isomorphism

$$G \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3).$$

Choosing as generators the $\mathbb{F}_4$-points $(0, 0)$ and $(1, \alpha)$, this isomorphism sends

$$-1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ corresponds to the group $G_{24}$, the subgroup $G_0$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ which fixes the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times 0$ corresponds to the group generated by $-1, \omega, \sigma$ and the group $G_1$ which fixes the point $(1, 0)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/3)^2$ corresponds to the group generated by $\omega$ and $\sigma$.

**Theorem 2.1.** [Beh07, Sect.5] [Ban14, Sect. 3.1]. *Let $K(2)$ be the second Morava $K$-theory at the prime 2. There is a continuous action $\psi$ of $G$ on $E_2$ and canonical*

*isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{K(2)}TMF &\cong E_2^{hG}, \\ L_{K(2)}T_0 &\cong E_2^{hG_0}, \\ L_{K(2)}T_1 &\cong E_2^{hG_1}, \\ L_{K(2)}T &\cong E_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we will work in the $K(2)$-local category and we will omit the localization functor from the notation. The following result can be found in [DH95] (see also [BOSS]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *An element $f \in \pi_k(E_2 \wedge T_i)$ gives rise to the continuous function from $\mathbb{G}_2/G_i$ to $\pi_k E_2$ which sends $g$ to the composite of $f$ with*

$$E_2 \wedge T_i \xrightarrow{1\wedge\psi^g} E_2 \wedge T_i \longrightarrow E_2 \wedge E_2 \longrightarrow E_2.$$

*This map is an isomorphism*

$$\phi : \pi_*(E_2 \wedge T_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Map}_{cts}(\mathbb{G}_2/G_i, \pi_* E_2)$$

In this notation, the operations satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \wedge \psi^\nu) f(g) &= f(g\nu) \\ (\psi^\nu \wedge 1) f(g) &= \psi^\nu f(\nu^{-1} g) \end{aligned}$$

Locally at the prime 2, for the theory $T_1$ it has been shown in [Lau16] that there are unique classes $p_i \in T_1^{4i} BSpin$ with the following property: the formal series $p_t = 1 + p_1 t + p_2 t^2 \ldots$ is given by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 + t\rho^*(x_i \overline{x}_i))$$

when restricted to the classifying space of each maximal torus of $Spin(2m)$. Here, $\rho$ is the map to the maximal torus of $SO(2m)$ and the $x_i$ (and $\overline{x}_i$) are the first $T_1$-Chern classes of the line bundles $L_i$ (resp. $\overline{L_i}$). The classes $p_i$ freely generate the $T_1$ cohomology of $BSpin$, that is,

$$T_1^* BSpin \cong T_1^* [\![p_1, p_2, \ldots]\!].$$

It was shown in [Lau16] that the Kitchloo-Laures-Wilson sequence implies an isomorphism of algebras

$$T_1^* BString \cong T_1^* [\![r, p_1, p_2, \ldots]\!]$$

where $p_1, p_2, \ldots$ are the Pontryagin classes coming from $BSpin$ and $r$ restricts to a topological generator of degree 6 in the $K(2)$-cohomology of $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

In [LO16] the result has been used to study the $T_0$ cohomology of $BString$ using equivariant methods. The theory $T_1$ admits the structure of a Real spectrum in the sense of Atiyah, Kriz, Hu et al. (compare [Ati66][HK01]). This means that there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant spectrum ("the Real theory") whose non-equivariant restriction ("the complex theory") is $T_1$ and whose fixed point spectrum ("the real theory") is $T_0$. They were used in [LO16] to show that there are classes $\pi_i \in T_0^{-32i} BSpin$ which lift the products $v_2^{6i} p_i$ for the $T_1$-Pontryagin classes $p_i$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$T_0^* BSpin \cong T_0^* [\![\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots]\!]$$

In order to obtain a class $r$ which is already defined in the real theory $T_0$ one has to provide a more geometric construction. Here, the theory of cubical structures on elliptic curves comes in and furnishes a construction of the Witten orientation in [AHS01]. It turns out that a convenient choice of a generator is possible in $BU\langle 6\rangle$ where we define

$$r_U := \frac{re^*\sigma}{x} \in T_1^* BU\langle 6\rangle$$

as the difference class which compares the Witten orientation

$$MU\langle 6\rangle \xrightarrow{re} MString \xrightarrow{\sigma} T_1$$

with the complex orientation $x \in T_1^* MU\langle 6\rangle$. For each stable complex vector bundle over a finite CW-complex $X$ equipped with a lift of its structure map $X \to BU$ to $\xi : X \to BU\langle 6\rangle$, we obtain a characteristic class $r_U(\xi) \in T_1^* X$ by pulling back $r_U$.

The space $BU\langle 6\rangle$ has an $H$-space structure induced from $BU$, and the inclusion of the homotopy fiber of $BU\langle 6\rangle \to BSU$ is an $H$-space map $j : K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \to BU\langle 6\rangle$. For two bundles $\xi : X \to BU\langle 6\rangle$, $\eta : X \to BU\langle 6\rangle$, we obtain a direct sum $\xi \oplus \eta : X \to BU\langle 6\rangle$ such that

$$r_U(\xi \oplus \eta) = r_U(\xi) r_U(\eta),$$

since both Thom classes are multiplicative.

**Remark 2.3.** When restricted to $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ the class $r_K := r_U(j) \in T_1^* K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$ can be described in a second way, which was suggested to the authors by Thomas Nikolaus: in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathbb{Z},3) & \xrightarrow{j} & BU\langle 6\rangle & \longrightarrow & BSU \\ \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow{re} & & \downarrow{re} \\ K(\mathbb{Z},3) & \xrightarrow{i} & BString & \longrightarrow & BSpin, \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

pull the universal bundle over $BSpin$ back and take Thom spaces everywhere to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(\mathbb{Z},3)_+ & \xrightarrow{Tj} & MU\langle 6\rangle & \longrightarrow & MSU \\ \downarrow{=} & & \downarrow{re} & & \downarrow{re} \\ K(\mathbb{Z},3)_+ & \xrightarrow{Ti} & MString & \longrightarrow & MSpin, \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

Here the composition of the complex orientation with $Tj$ factors through $MSU$, so $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)_+ \to MU\langle 6\rangle \xrightarrow{x} T_1$ is the unit element in $T_1^* K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. Thus the composition

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)_+ \to MU\langle 6\rangle \xrightarrow{re} MString \xrightarrow{\sigma} tmf \to T_0 \to T_1$$

is equal to the pull-back $r_K = j^* \frac{re^*\sigma}{x} \colon K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)_+ \to BU\langle 6\rangle \to T_1$. In particular we see a natural lift of $r_K$ to a class in $T_0^* K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, and in fact even a lift to $tmf^* K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$. Note that

$$(Ti)^*\sigma \quad = \quad (Tj)^*(re^*\sigma) = r_K. \tag{2.3}$$

The complex conjugation on $BU$ induces an involution on $BU\langle 6\rangle$. We denote the composition of $\xi$ with this complex conjugation on $BU\langle 6\rangle$ by $\overline{\xi}$ and denote the

standard involution on $T_1$ by $\psi^{-1}$. In [LO16] it is shown that $r_U$ can be turned into a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant map. It follows that

$$r_U(\overline{\xi}) = \psi^{-1}(r_U(\xi)).$$

Since $c : BString \to BU\langle 6\rangle$ maps to the fixed points of complex conjugation on $BU\langle 6\rangle$, the pull-back $r = r_U(c)$ admits a lift to the fixed point spectrum $T_0$ of $\psi^{-1}$. By pulling back $r$ along the classifying map, there is a natural stable class

$$r(\xi) \in T_0^0 X$$

for every string bundle $\xi$ over $X$.

**Theorem 2.4.** [LO16] *The class $r$ has the following properties:*

(i) *$r$ is multiplicative: $r(\xi \oplus \eta) = r(\xi) \otimes r(\eta)$.*

(ii) *There is an isomorphism*

$$T_0{}^*[\![\tilde{r}, \pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots]\!] \longrightarrow T_0{}^* BString$$

*where $\tilde{r} = r - 1$ is the reduced version of the class $r$ corresponding to the universal bundle over $BString$.*

(iii) *In terms of the Chern character of its elliptic character $\lambda$ (c.*[Mil89]*) at the cusp $\infty$ it is given by the formula*

$$ch(\lambda(r_U(\xi))) = \prod_i \frac{\Phi(\tau, x_i - \omega)}{\Phi(\tau, -\omega)}$$

*where the $x_i$ are the formal Chern roots of $\xi \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $\omega = 2\pi i/3$ and $\Phi$ is the theta function*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tau, x) &= (e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^n e^x)(1 - q^n e^{-x})}{(1 - q^n)^2} \\ &= x \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2k)!} G_{2k}(\tau) x^{2k}). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.5.** Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BU\langle 6\rangle & \xrightarrow{(1,conj)} & BU\langle 6\rangle \times BU\langle 6\rangle \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle re} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus} \\ BString & \xrightarrow{\ c\ } & BU\langle 6\rangle \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

commutes, we obtain the formulas

$$r_U(c \circ re) = r(re) = r_U \cdot \psi^{-1} r_U, \tag{2.5}$$

$$r(i) = r(re \circ j) = r_U(j) \cdot \psi^{-1} r_K = r_K^2, \tag{2.6}$$

where the last equality holds since $r_K$ comes from a $T_0$-cohomology class and is thus $\mathbb{Z}/2$-invariant.

**Theorem 2.6.** (i) *Let $b_i \in \pi_{2i}(E_2 \wedge BS^1)$ be the dual to the power $c_1^i$ of the first Chern class of the canonical line bundle. Denote its image under the map induced by the inclusion of the maximal torus*

$$\iota : BS^1 \longrightarrow BSpin(3) \longrightarrow BSpin$$

*by the same name. Then*

$$\pi_* E_2 \wedge BSpin_+ \cong \pi_* E_2[b_8, b_{16}, b_{32}, \ldots].$$

(ii) *Let $d \in \pi_0(E_2 \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$ be a class which restricts to a generator in $d \in \pi_0(K(2) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$ as divided power algebra. Then $\pi_*(E_2 \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))$ is generated by $d$.*

(iii) *Denote a lift of $b_i$ to $\pi_{2i}(E_2 \wedge BString)$ by $a_i$. Then we have*

$$\pi_* E_2 \wedge BString_+ \cong \pi_*(E_2 \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 3))[a_8, a_{16}, a_{32}, \ldots].$$

*Proof.* This follows from [KL02][KLW04] and [RW80]. □

We want to be more specific in choice of the classes $a_i$. Let

$$R^* : E_2^* BString \cong E_2^* BSpin[\![\tilde{r}]\!] \longrightarrow E_2^* BSpin$$

be the ring homomorphism given by the constant coefficient in the power series expansion. Then dually, we get a map

$$R_* : E_{2*} BSpin \longrightarrow E_{2*} BString.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let $a_i$ be the image of $b_i$ under this map. Then we state the equality*

$$\langle a_i, c \rangle = \langle b_i, R^*(c) \rangle$$

*for later purposes.*

## 3. The action of the Morava stabilizer group

In this section we analyze the action of the Morava stabilizer group on $E$-theory. We denote the formal group law obtained from the coordinate $t = -\frac{x}{y}$ on $\hat{C}$ by $F$, then elements of $\mathbb{S}_2 = Aut(F)$ are certain power series $g(t) \in \mathbb{F}_4[[t]]$.

3.1. **The action on the formal group for Morava E-theory.** One can lift the curve $C$ to

$$C_U : y^2 + 3u_1 xy + (u_1^3 - 1)y = x^3$$

over $(E_2)_0 = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]]$, whose formal group law $F_U$ is the universal deformation of $F$.

An automorphism of $F$ (i.e. a power series $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_4$) can be lifted to a power series $\tilde{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)$. Then

$$(F_U^{\tilde{g}})(x, y) = \tilde{g}^{-1}(F_U(\tilde{g}(x), \tilde{g}(y))).$$

This is isomorphic, but in general not $*$-isomorphic to $F_U$. It is a deformation of $F$, so it is classified by a ring homomorphism

$$h^g : (E_2)_0 = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]] \to (E_2)_0 = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]],$$

which is characterized by the property that $(h^g)_* F_U$ is $*$-isomorphic to $F_U^{\tilde{g}}$.

The composition $g_U \in (E_2)_*[[z]]$ of the isomorphism of formal group laws

$$\tilde{g} : F_U \to F_U^{\tilde{g}}$$

with the unique $*$-isomorphism

$$F_U^{\tilde{g}} \to (h^g)_* F_U$$

is the unique lift $g_U$ of $g$ such that $F_U^{g_U}$ can be obtained by pushing forward $F_U$ by a ring isomorphism, namely $h^g$.

It follows that for each $g \in \mathbb{S}_2$ we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{C}_U & \xrightarrow{g_U} & \hat{C}_U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Spec\,(E_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{h^g} & Spec\,(E_2)_0 \end{array}$$

We denote

$$g_U(z) = t_0(g)z + t_1(g)z^2 + t_2(g)z^3 + \dots$$

3.2. **The action on Morava E-theory.** We are now prepared to define the action on the coefficients $(E_2)_*$. We extend $h^g : (E_2)_0 \to (E_2)_0$ by

$$h^g(u) = t_0(g)u$$

to an automorphism $h^g$ of $(E_2)_*$. More generally, we define the $\mathbb{S}_2$-action on

$$(E_2)_*X = (E_2)_* \otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X$$

for a spectrum $X$ [Rez98, Sect. 6].

We obtain a graded formal group law $\overline{F}_U$ over $(E_2)_*$ by setting

$$\overline{F}_U(x, y) = u^{-1}F_U(ux, uy).$$

The isomorphism $g_U : F_U \to (h^g)_*F_U$ induces an isomorphism $\overline{g}_U : \overline{F}_U \to (h^g)_*\overline{F}_U$ of graded formal group laws via

$$\overline{g}_U(z) = (h^g(u))^{-1}g_U(uz).$$

This is classified by a ring homomorphism $\phi : MU_*MU \to (E_2)_*$ sending $t_i \in MU_*MU$ to the $(i+1)$-st coefficient $t_i(g)t_0(g)^{-1}u^i \in (E_2)_{2-2i}$ of $\overline{g}_U$.

The map $\phi\eta_L : MU_* \to E_2$ classifies $F_U$ and $\phi\eta_R : MU_* \to E_2$ classifies $(h^g)_*F_U$. Using the $MU_*MU$-coaction

$$\psi : MU_*X \to MU_*MU \otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X,$$

the action of $g$ on $(E_2)_*X$ is now given as

$$(E_2)_* \,{}^{\phi\eta_L}\!\otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X \xrightarrow{h^g\otimes\psi} (E_2)_* \,{}^{\phi\eta_R}\!\otimes^{\phi\eta_R}_{MU_*} MU_*MU \otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X$$
$$\xrightarrow{1\otimes\phi\otimes 1} (E_2)_* \,{}^{\phi\eta_R}\!\otimes^{\phi\eta_R}_{MU_*} (E_2)_* \,{}^{\phi\eta_L}\!\otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X \xrightarrow{m\cdot 1} (E_2)_* \,{}^{\phi\eta_L}\!\otimes_{MU_*} MU_*X.$$

We have defined, for each $g \in \mathbb{S}_2$, a natural transformation $(E_2)_*(-) \to (E_2)_*(-)$ of homology theories, and therefore a map of spectra $E_2 \xrightarrow{\psi^g} E_2$ up to homotopy (as there are no phantom maps).

Vice versa the action of the stabilizer group on $E_2$-homology is given by sending a homology class $x \in (E_2)_*X$, i.e. $S \xrightarrow{x} E_2 \wedge X$ to $\psi^g(x)$ defined as $S \xrightarrow{x} E_2 \wedge X \xrightarrow{\psi^g\wedge 1} E_2 \wedge X$, and the action on a cohomology class $X \to E_2$ is given by composition with $\psi^g : E_2 \to E_2$. This has the property that with the Kronecker pairing $E_2^*X \times (E_2)_*X \to (E_2)_*$ we have

$$\psi^g\langle b, x\rangle = \langle \psi^g b, \psi^g x\rangle.$$

3.3. **The action on the $E$-Euler class.** The action of $\mathbb{S}_2 = Aut(\hat{C})$ on the complex coordinate $x \in (E_2)^2\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ is given by

$$g \cdot x = \overline{g}_U(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0} t_i(g)t_0(g)^{-1}u^i x^{i+1}.$$

For $z = ux \in (E_2)^0\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ we have $g \cdot z = g_U(z)$.

Beaudry shows in [Bea15] that

$$g \cdot u_1 = t_0(g)u_1 + \frac{2t_1(g)}{3t_0(g)}.$$

The element $\omega$ corresponds to the power series $g(t) = \alpha t$, which one can lift to $\tilde{g}(t) = \omega t$. The automorphism $h^\omega$ defined by

$$\omega \cdot u_1 = \omega u_1$$

satisfies $(h^\omega)_*\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}^{\tilde{g}}$, i.e. the push-forward is in this case not only $*$-isomorphic, but equal to $\tilde{F}^{\tilde{g}}$. In particular we have

$$\omega \cdot u = \omega u,$$
$$\omega \cdot x = x.$$

Strickland uses the compatibility of the $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)$-action on $T^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ with the $\mathbb{G}_2$-action on $E_2^*\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ to prove that [Bea15, Section 2.4]

$$i \cdot u = u\frac{-1-2\omega}{u_1-1},$$
$$i \cdot u_1 = \frac{u_1+2}{u_1-1}.$$

(Note that $z \mapsto \frac{z+2}{z-1}$ is the automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1$ sending $1 \mapsto \infty, \infty \mapsto 1, \omega \mapsto \omega^2, \omega^2 \mapsto \omega$.)

Moreover we have

$$i \cdot z = \frac{lz + rlw(z)}{1 + sz + l^3(sr-t)w(z)},$$

where

$$l = \frac{-1-2\omega}{u_1-1}, \quad r = 3\frac{1-u_1^3}{(u_1-1)^3}, \quad s = 3\frac{\omega^2 u_1 - 1}{u_1-1}, \quad t = 3\frac{u_1^3-1}{(u_1-1)^4}\left(1-\omega+(1-\omega^2)u_1\right)$$

and $w(z) \in (E_2)_*[[z]]$ is the power series with leading term $z^3$ given by solving

$$w + 3u_1zw + (u_1^3-1)w^2 = z^3$$

for $w$.

The action of the Frobenius is trivial on $u, u_1$, but is the conjugation on $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)$.

3.4. **The action on the $E$-homology of $K(\mathbb{Z},3)$.** After the description of the operation on a complex coordinate in $E_2^*K(\mathbb{Z},2)$, we can address the more complicated operation on the generator of $E_2^*K(\mathbb{Z},3)$. The following result is related to [Pet] and [Wes, Theorem 3.21] where the analogous formula for the homology is proven.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The $\mathbb{S}_2$-action on $E_2^*K(\mathbb{Z},3) = E_2^*[[\tilde{r}_K]]$ is given by*

$$\psi^g(r_K) = r_K^{det(g)}$$

*Proof.* The class $r_U$ is multiplicative for sums of vector bundles. Hence, the $H$-space addition $\oplus : BU\langle 6\rangle^2 \to BU\langle 6\rangle$ sends

$$r_U \mapsto r_U \otimes r_U \in E_2^* BU\langle 6\rangle \otimes_{E_2^*} E_2^* BU\langle 6\rangle.$$

Since $j : K(\mathbb{Z},3) \to BU\langle 6\rangle$ is an $H$-space map which maps $r_U \mapsto r_K$, the $H$-space addition $\oplus : K(\mathbb{Z},3)^2 \to K(\mathbb{Z},3)$ sends

$$r_K \mapsto r_K \otimes r_K \in E_2^* K(\mathbb{Z},3) \otimes_{E_2^*} E_2^* K(\mathbb{Z},3).$$

Let $\psi^g(r_K) = q(\tilde{r}_K)$ for $q \in E_2^*[[t]]$ a power series with leading term 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} q(\tilde{r}_K) \otimes q(\tilde{r}_K) &= \psi^g(r_K) \otimes \psi^g(r_K) = \psi^g(\oplus^*(r_K)) = \oplus^* \psi^g(r_K) \\ &= \oplus^*(q(\tilde{r}_K)) = q(\oplus^*(\tilde{r}_K)) = q(\tilde{r}_K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{r}_K + \tilde{r}_K \otimes \tilde{r}_K) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $q(t)$ is a power series with leading term 1 satisfying

$$q(t_1)q(t_2) = q(t_1 + t_2 + t_1 t_2). \tag{3.1}$$

For $\alpha \in E_2^*$ there cannot exist more than one power series with leading terms $1+\alpha t$ satisfying the equation (use induction and compare the degree $n$ coefficients on both sides of the equation to see that the degree $n$ term of the series is unique, as the ground ring is torsion free). This is true even if we invert 2, when all binomial series $B_\alpha(t) = \sum \binom{\alpha}{n} t^n$ for all $\alpha \in E_2^*$ satisfy this equation. It follows that $\psi^g(r_K) = r_K^{f(g)}$ for some exponent $f(g)$, where the power is defined by the binomial series.

We claim that $f$ already maps to $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times$. For $\alpha = f(g)$ we know that all binomial coefficients $\binom{\alpha}{n}$ are integral. It follows for $n = p$ that $\alpha$ coincides with an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod pE_2^*$. Similarly, for $n = p^2$ one obtains that $\alpha$ coincides with an integer modulo $p^2$ and so on.

Since $r_K$ is multiplicative we obtain a homomorphism

$$f : \mathbb{G}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^\times.$$

It remains to show that this homomorphism is the determinant.

Consider the product

$$\mu_n : K(\mathbb{Z}/n,1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}/n,1) \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/n,2) \xrightarrow{\beta} K(\mathbb{Z},3)$$

which is derived from the product structure of the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum and the Bockstein map. It is additive in each of the two arguments, and $spf(E_2^0(K(\mathbb{Z},3))$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative formal group, cf. [Wes, Proposition 3.2]. In fact, this map induces the universal $e_n$-pairing in the sense of Ando and Strickland [AS01, Proposition 2.3]: write $G[n]$ for the the formal group scheme $spf(E_2^0(K(\mathbb{Z}/n,1)))$, then $\mu_n$ induces a pairing

$$f : G[n] \times G[n] \longrightarrow spf(E_2^0(K(\mathbb{Z},3)))$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1 + x_2, y) &= f(x_1, y) f(x_2, y), \\ f(x, y_1 + y_2) &= f(x, y_1) f(x, y_2), \\ f(x, x) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we use the language of $R$-points for $R$ an $E_2^0$-algebra.) The left action of the Morava stabilizer group on the cohomology induces a right action on formal schemes via precomposition. Writing $g$ instead of $\psi^g$ we have the identity

$$f(xg, yg) = f(x, y)g. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $R$ be an algebra over the residue field $E_2^0/(\pi)$ where $\pi$ is the maximal ideal. Then the equality

$$f(x\omega, y\omega) \quad = \quad f(x,y) \tag{3.3}$$

holds because the action of $\omega$ on the generator $r$ has to be trivial. Moreover, for all elements $x \in G[n](R)$ we have by definition

$$x(g+h) = xg + xh,$$

where the addition of $g$ and $h$ takes place in $End(\hat{C})$. Here we use that on $G[n](E_2^0/(\pi))$ also the non-invertible endomorphisms of $\hat{C}$ act since we can reduce to Morava $K$-theory.

We claim that for all $x, g$ we have

$$f(x, xS)g \quad = \quad f(x, xS)^{\det(g)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that the composite of $f$ with the map

$$G[n](R) \to G[n](R) \times G[n](R),\ x \mapsto (x, xS)$$

is injective or, equivalently, the representing map of rings is an epimorphism. This can be checked in Morava $K$-theory where it follows from the fact that a generator in homology is given by

$$\beta_2 \circ (\beta_2 S) = \beta_2 \circ \beta_1$$

(compare [RW80]). We conclude that the equation

$$f(x, xS)\psi^g(r) = f(x, xS)r^{\det(g)}.$$

implies that

$$\psi^g(r) = r^{\det(g)}.$$

From (3.4) we also conclude that for all $x, y$ we have

$$f(x,y)g \quad = \quad f(x,y)^{\det(g)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Now we prove (3.4) for $g = a + bS$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, xS)g &= f(xg, xSg) \\ &= f(x(a+bS), x(\bar{a}S + 2\bar{b})) \\ &= f(xa + xbS, x\bar{a}S + x2\bar{b}) \\ &= f(xa, x\bar{a}S)f(xa, x2\bar{b})f(xbS, x\bar{a}S)f(xbS, x2\bar{b}) \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the middle two factors cancel each other and that the rest gives

$$f(x, xS)^{a\bar{a}-2b\bar{b}} \quad = \quad f(x, xS)^{\det(g)},$$

as desired.

This is clear for all $g$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, for $g = 1 + S$ we have (3.4) and (3.5). Using also (3.2) and (3.3) we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x\omega, x) &= f(x\omega g, xg)^{-1} = f(xg, x\omega g) \\ &= f(x + xS, x\omega + x\omega S) \\ &= f(x, x\omega)f(x, x\omega S)f(xS, x\omega)f(xS, x\omega S) \\ &= f(x, x\omega)f(x, xS\overline{\omega})f(xS, x\omega)f(xS, x\omega S) \\ &= f(x, x\omega)f(x\omega, xS)f(xS, x\omega)f(xS, x\omega S) \\ &= f(x, x\omega)f(xS, x\omega S) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$f(x\omega, x)^2 = f(xS, x\omega S).$$

Write $a = a_1 + a_2\omega$ and $b = b_1 + b_2\omega$. Then the first middle factor is

$$\begin{aligned} f(xa, x2\bar{b}) &= f(xa_1 + xa_2\omega, 2xb_1 + 2x\bar{\omega}b_2) \\ &= f(x, x\bar{\omega})^{a_1 2b_2} f(x\omega, x)^{a_2 2b_1} f(x\omega, x\bar{\omega})^{a_2 2b_2} \\ &= f(x\omega, x)^{2(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 - a_2b_2)} \end{aligned}$$

and the second one is

$$\begin{aligned} f(xS(b_1 + b_2\bar{\omega}), xS(a_1 + a_2\omega)) &= f(xS, xS\omega)^{b_1a_2} f(xS\bar{\omega}, xS)^{a_1b_2} f(xS\bar{\omega}, xS\omega)^{b_2a_2} \\ &= f(xS, xS\bar{\omega})^{-b_1a_2 - a_1b_2 + b_2a_2} \\ &= f(xS, x\omega S)^{-(a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 - b_2a_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, they cancel. It remains to compute the first and the last term.

$$\begin{aligned} f(xa, x\bar{a}S) &= f(xa_1 + xa_2\omega, xa_1S + xa_2S\omega) \\ &= f(x, xS)^{a_1^2} f(x, xS\omega)^{a_1a_2} f(x\omega, xS)^{a_2a_1} f(x\omega, xS\omega)^{a_2^2} \\ &= f(x, xS)^{a_1^2} f(x\bar{\omega} + x\omega, xS)^{a_1a_2} f(x, xS)^{a_2^2} \\ &= f(x, xS)^{a_1^2 - a_1a_2 + a_2^2} \\ &= f(x, xS)^{a\bar{a}} \end{aligned}$$

The identity

$$f(xbS, x2\bar{b}) = f(x, xS)^{-2b\bar{b}}$$

follows similarly. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *The $S_2$-action on*

$$r_U \in E_2^* BU\langle 6\rangle$$

*is given by*

$$\psi^g(r_U) = q_0^g r_U^{det(g)}$$

*for a unique $q_0^g \in E_2^* BSU$.*

*Proof.* When we set $\psi^g(r_U) = q(\tilde{r}_U)$ for $q \in E_2^*(BSU)[[t]]$ a power series, we do not have the equality (3.1) any more, but instead

$$q(t_1)q(t_2) = (\oplus^* q)(t_1 + t_2 + t_1t_2) \tag{3.6}$$

where $\oplus^* q$ is obtained by pulling back the coefficients of $q$ via $\oplus$. Also $q$ does not need to have leading term 1 any more. Comparing coefficients of $t_1^j$ and $t_2^j$, we obtain $q_j \otimes q_0 = q_0 \otimes q_j$ for all $j$. Since $E_2^* BSU$ is a direct product of copies of $E_2^*$ and $q_0$ is a primitive class, this equation can only hold if $q_j$ is a multiple of $q_0$ by an element in $E_2^*$. So $\psi^g(r_U)$ is $q_0$ times a power series in $E_2^*[[\tilde{r}_U]]$. Under the map to $E_2^* K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$, the class $q_0$ maps to 1 and the power series in $E_2^*[[\tilde{r}_U]]$ is not changed, so the statement of the theorem follows from 3.1. □

**Corollary 3.3.** $\psi^g(r) = c^*(q_0^g) r^{det(g)}$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the Theorem and from the equation

$$c^* r_U = r.$$

□

We devote the rest of this section to the investigation of the class $q_0$ for $SU$-bundles.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let $f : BU(1)^3 \to BU\langle 6\rangle$ be the map which classifies the product $(1-L_1)(1-L_2)(1-L_3)$. Then we have*

$$f^*q_0^g = \frac{1-3((t_0(g)^2u_1+\frac{2}{3}t_1(g))^3-t_0(g)^3)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots}{1-det(g)3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$f^*r_U = 1-3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots$$

and

$$f^*q_0^g(1-3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots)^{det(g)} = f^*\psi^g(r_U)$$
$$= \psi^g(f^*r_U) = 1-3(\psi^g(u_1)^3-1)\psi^g(u)^3\psi^g(x_0)\psi^g(x_1)\psi^g(x_2)+\dots.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} f^*q_0^g &= \frac{1-3(\psi^g(u_1)^3-1)\psi^g(u)^3\psi^g(x_0)\psi^g(x_1)\psi^g(x_2)+\dots}{1-det(g)3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots} \\ &= \frac{1-3((t_0(g)u_1+\frac{2t_1(g)}{3t_0(g)})^3-1)t_0(g)^3u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots}{1-det(g)3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots} \\ &= \frac{1-3((t_0(g)^2u_1+\frac{2}{3}t_1(g))^3-t_0(g)^3)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots}{1-det(g)3(u_1^3-1)u^3x_0x_1x_2+\dots}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The class $q_0$ is multiplicative. Hence, it can be described by a single power series which we work out next.

**Definition 3.5.** Let $K(\mathbb{Z},3) \to P \to BS^1 = K(\mathbb{Z},2)$ be the fiber bundle with $k$-invariant a generator of $H^4(BS^1;\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\kappa$ be the composition $BS^1 \to BSU(2) \to BSU$, where the first map is induced by the inclusion of a maximal torus into $SU(2)$. Then there are the following pullback squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\iota} & BString \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow \\ BS^1 & \xrightarrow{\iota} & BSpin, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & BU\langle 6\rangle \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow \\ BS^1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & BSU. \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$E_2^*P \cong E_2^*[\![x,\tilde{r}_P]\!]$$

*where $x$ is the pullback of the class with same name in $E_2^*BS^1$ and $r_P = \kappa^*r_U$. As a virtual real vector bundle, the bundle $\iota$ over $P$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $L^2-1_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $BS^1$ to $P$.*

*Proof.* For the fibration $K(\mathbb{Z},3) \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow BS^1$ the generalized Atiyah-Hizebruch spectral sequence

$$H^*(BS^1, E_2^*K(\mathbb{Z},3)) \Longrightarrow E_2^*P$$

collapses since it is concentrated in even degrees (compare [RWY98, Proposition 2.0.1]). The class $\tilde{r}_P = \kappa^* \tilde{r}_U$ restricts to a generator of the fiber. The last statement follows from the commutative diagram

(3.7)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \longrightarrow & & & BString \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ BS^1 & \longrightarrow & BSpin(3) & \longrightarrow & BSpin \\ {\scriptstyle B(z\mapsto z^2)}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BS^1 & \longrightarrow & BSO(3) & \longrightarrow & BSO. \end{array}$$

□

**Proposition 3.7.** *Rationally, the elliptic Chern character of the multiplicative $SU$-class $q_0^g$ for the bundle $\xi = (1-L_1)(1-L_2)$ over $BS^1 \times BS^1$ is given by*

$$q_0^g(\xi) = \psi^g\left(\frac{\alpha(\tau,x+y)}{\alpha(\tau,x)\alpha(\tau,y))}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha(\tau,x)\alpha(\tau,y)}{\alpha(\tau,x+y)}\right)^{det(g)}.$$

*Here, $\alpha$ is the power series*

$$\alpha(\tau,x) = \frac{\Phi(\tau,x-\omega)}{\Phi(\tau,-\omega)}.$$

*In particular for the bundle $\kappa = L + \bar{L} - 2 = -(1-L)(1-\bar{L})$ we obtain*

$$q_0^g(\kappa) = \frac{(\alpha(\tau,x)\alpha(\tau,-x))^{det(g)}}{\psi^g(\alpha(\tau,x)\alpha(\tau,-x))}.$$

*Proof.* Rationally, the maps

$$BU\langle 6\rangle \longrightarrow BSU \longrightarrow BU$$

induce ring maps in cohomology which are compatible with the action of $\mathbb{G}_2$ and which send the 1-structure to a 2-structure via

$$\delta(g)(x,y) = \frac{g(x +_F y)}{g(x)g(y)}$$

and similarly a 2-structure to a cubical structure over the coefficient ring (compare [AHS01]). Since the class $\alpha(\tau,x)$ coincides with $r_U$ by Theorem 2.4 the result follows from the identity

$$q_0^g = \frac{\psi^g(r_U)}{r_U^{det(g)}}$$

and the fact that $q_0^g$ is an $SU$-characteristic class. □

## 4. Cannibalistic classes

Before we consider cannibalistic classes with values in $TMF$ with level structures or in $E_2$ we will review cannibalistic classes in complex $K$-theory. Since we are only interested in stable operations it is convenient to work in $p$-adic $K$-theory. We write $\psi^q$ for the stable Adams operation if $q$ is a $p$-adic unit. Bott's cannibalistic classes $\theta^q(V) \in K(X)$ for virtual vector bundles $V$ over $X$ are defined by the equation

$$\psi^q(\tau) = \theta^q(V)\tau.$$

when $\tau$ is the Thom class. These classes are multiplicative, that is, they take sums to products because the Thom classes and the operations behave that way. Hence, the splitting principle implies that it suffices to consider the canonical line bundle $L$ over $BS^1$. Let $s$ denote its zero section in the Thom space $Th(L)$. Then the composite

$$K(BS^1) \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{K}^2(Th(L)) \xrightarrow{s} \tilde{K}^2(BS^1)$$

is the multiplication with the Euler class $x = v^{-1}(1-L)$, ($v$ denotes the Bott class). Hence it is injective. Since the product $\theta^q(L)\, x$ coincides with $\psi^q(x)$ this gives

$$\theta^q(L) = \frac{\psi^q(x)}{x} = \frac{[q](x)}{qx} = \frac{1-(1-vx)^q}{qvx}.$$

For real $p$-adic $K$-theory we assume that $V$ is a spin bundle. Again by the splitting principle, it suffices to compute the real cannibalistic classes of the spin bundle $L^2$ over $BS^1$. As in the complex case, the composite

$$KO(BS^1) \xrightarrow{\tau} \widetilde{KO}^2(Th(L^2)) \xrightarrow{s} \widetilde{KO}^2(BS^1) \xrightarrow{c} \tilde{K}^2(BS^1)$$

is the multiplication by the complexified Euler class $e$. The positive complexified spinor bundle of $L^2$ is $\bar{L}$ and the negative one is $L$. So, the Euler class is

$$e = v^{-1}(\bar{L} - L) = x - \bar{x}.$$

and hence $\theta^q(L^2)\, e = \psi^q(x-\bar{x})$. The following result follows immediately form this computation. It can also be deduced from a calculation of Adams in [Ada65] with the Chern character.

**Proposition 4.1.** [Lau03, Lemma 3.14]

$$c\,\theta^q(L^2) = \frac{(1-vx)^{-q} - (1-vx)^q}{q((1-vx)^{-1} - (1-vx))}.$$

There is another way to compute the real cannibalstic class of $L^2$ which will be more instructive when it comes to the string case. For that, observe that the virtual spin bundle $L^2 - 1$ coincides with the realification of the $SU$-bundle

$$L^2 - 1 + \bar{L} - L \quad = \quad (1-L)^2 - (1-L)(1-\bar{L}). \tag{4.1}$$

Moreover, the real Thom class of an $SU$- bundle coincides with the complex Thom class. Hence, when we write $\theta_U^q$ for the complex cannibalistic class we get

$$c\,\theta^q(L^2-1) = \frac{\theta_U^q((1-L)^2)}{\theta_U^q((1-L)(1-\bar{L})}.$$

Now the result follows from an elementary calculation and the formula above for the complex cannibalistic classes.

We now turn to the string case.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $\xi$ be a virtual string bundle of dimension 0 over some base space $X$. For $g \in \mathbb{G}_2$ define the cannibalistic class $\theta^g(\xi) \in E_2^*(X)$ by the equation

$$\psi^g(\tau) = \theta^g(\xi)\tau$$

where $\tau$ is the Thom class obtained by pulling back $\sigma$ to the Thom space of $\xi$. We denote by $\theta^g = \theta^g(\sigma)$ the cannibalistic class for the universal stable bundle over $BString$. In particular, we have $\theta^g(\xi) = \xi^*\theta^g$.

Similarly, we have a complex Thom class for the universal complex vector bundle, and so a complex Thom class $\tau_{\mathbb{C}}$ for every virtual complex vector bundle $\xi$ of dimension 0 over $X$. This results in a complex cannibalistic class

$$\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}(\xi) = \frac{\psi^g(\tau_{\mathbb{C}})}{\tau_{\mathbb{C}}} \in E_2^*(X),$$

which is the pullback of the class $\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the universal complex vector bundle.

**Proposition 4.3.** *We have*

(i) $\theta^g(0) = 1$
(ii) $\theta^g(\xi + \eta) = \theta^g(\xi)\theta^g(\eta)$
(iii) $\theta^{g\nu}(\xi) = \psi^\nu(\theta^g(\xi))\theta^\nu(\xi)$.

The proof follows immediately from the definition. Analogous formulas hold for the complex cannibalistic classes. The complex classes can be calculated with the help of splitting principle and the following result which follows from the definition.

**Proposition 4.4.** *For the complex line bundle $L$ over $BU(1)$ with Euler class $x \in E^0\Sigma^{-2}BU(1)$ we have*

$$\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}(L) = \frac{\psi^g(x)}{x}.$$

The string class $\theta^g$ is much harder to calculate. We will first compute $(\theta^g)^2$. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BString & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & BString \times BString \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \oplus} \\ BU\langle 6\rangle & \xrightarrow{re} & BString \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

commutes, we obtain with part (ii) of 4.3 the equality

$$c^*\theta^g(re) \quad = \quad (\theta^g)^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Now write the string Thom class as a product $re^*\sigma = r_U \cdot x$, where $x$ is the complex Thom class of $BU\langle 6\rangle \to BU$. The latter map can be used to pull back $\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $BU\langle 6\rangle$.

**Corollary 4.5.** *There is the identity*

$$\theta^g(re) = q_0^g r_U^{det(g)-1}\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

*In particular, the cannibalistic class is the reduction of an $SU$-characteristic class if and only if the determinant of $g$ is 1.*

*Proof.* Calculate with Lemma 3.2

$$\theta^g(re) = \frac{\psi^g(r_U x)}{r_U x} = \frac{\psi^g(r_U)}{r_U}\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}} = q_0^g r_U^{\det(g)-1}\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

□

**Corollary 4.6.** $(\theta^g)^2 = c^*(q_0^g\theta^g_{\mathbb{C}})r^{det(g)-1}$.

*Proof.* The equality follows from equation (4.3) and Corollary 4.5. □

There is a more convenient way to describe the string cannibalistic classes which uses a splitting principle.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let $BT^\infty = \operatorname{colim} BT^n$ be a maximal torus of $BSpin$ and let $P^\infty = \operatorname{colim} P^n$ be the fibration over $BT^\infty$. Then the induced map*

$$E_2^* BString \longrightarrow E_2^* P^\infty$$

*is an injection.*

*Proof.* It follows from [Lau16, Corollary 3.8] that the restriction from $E_2^* BSpin$ to $E_2^* BT^\infty$ is injective and hence so is the restriction to $P^\infty$ by the generalized Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. □

Hence, it suffices to consider the bundle $L^2 - 1$ over $P$. We have seen in 4.1 that this bundle admits a reduction to the special unitary group. This gives

$$\theta^g(L^2-1) = \theta^g(re((1-L)^2 - (1-\bar{L})(1-L))) \tag{4.4}$$

$$= (q_0^g r_U^{det(g)-1} \theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g)((1-L)^2 - (1-\bar{L})(1-L)) \tag{4.5}$$

The class $q_0$ can then be computed with Formula 3.7.

## 5. The homology of string characteristic classes

In this section we will use the calculation of cannibalistic classes to compute the homology of string characteristic classes.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For all $c \in T_i^* MString$ the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_* E_2 \wedge BString_+ & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \operatorname{Hom}_{cts}(E_2^* BString, E_{2*}) \\
\cong \uparrow \tau & & \cong \uparrow \tau \\
\pi_* E_2 \wedge MString & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \operatorname{Hom}_{cts}(E_2^* MString, E_{2*}) \\
\downarrow (1\wedge c)_* & & \downarrow c_\sharp \\
\pi_* E_2 \wedge T_i & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \operatorname{Map}_{cts}(\mathbb{G}_2/G_i, E_{2*})
\end{array}$$

*commutes. Here, $\tau$ is the Thom isomorphism, $\rho$ is the duality map and the lower right vertical arrow takes a homomorphism $b$ to the map*

$$c_\sharp(b) : g \mapsto b(MString \xrightarrow{c} \Sigma^* T_i \longrightarrow \Sigma^* E_2 \xrightarrow{\psi^g} \Sigma^* E_2).$$

*Proof.* The diagram chase is readily verified. □

We denote by $c$ also the composition $MString \xrightarrow{c} \Sigma^* T_i \longrightarrow \Sigma^* E_2$, and we denote by $\tilde{c}$ its preimage under the Thom isomorphism $E_2^* BString \cong E_2^* MString$. Set

$$\Theta_c \overset{def}{=} c_\sharp \tau^{-1} \rho = \phi(1 \wedge c)_* \tau^{-1} : \pi_* E_2 \wedge BString_+ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}_{cts}(\mathbb{G}_2/G_i, E_{2*}).$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *For all $a \in \pi_* E_2 \wedge BString_+$ we have*

$$\Theta_c(a)(g) = \langle a, \theta^g \cdot \psi^g(\tilde{c}) \rangle .$$

*Proof.* Set $b = \tau^{-1}\rho(a)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_c(a)(g) &= c_\sharp(b)(g) = b(\psi^g(\tau\tilde{c})) \\
&= b(\theta^g \cdot \psi^g(\tilde{c})\tau) = \rho(a)(\theta^g \cdot \psi^g(\tilde{c})) \\
&= \langle a, \theta^g \cdot \psi^g(\tilde{c}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose $\tilde{c}$ is in the image of $E_2^*BSpin \to E_2^*BString$. Then we have an equality of power series*

$$\sum_i \Theta_c(a_i)(g)x^i = (q_0^g\theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g)^{\frac{1}{2}}((\bar{L}-L)^2)\psi^g(\tilde{c}(L^2-1))$$

*Here, $x$ is the Euler class of the canonical bundle $L$ on $BS^1$.*

*Proof.* We have with Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 2.7

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \Theta_c(a_i)(g)x^i &= \sum_i \langle a_i, \theta^g \cdot \psi^g(\tilde{c})\rangle\, x^i \\ &= \sum_i \langle b_i, R^*(\theta^g)R^*(\psi^g(\tilde{c}))\rangle\, x^i \\ &= \iota^*(R^*(\theta^g))\iota^*(\psi^g(\tilde{c})).\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.6 implies

$$\iota^*R^*(\theta^g)^2 = \iota^*c^*(q_0^g\theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g)^2 = q_0^g\theta_{\mathbb{C}}^g(\bar{L}-L)^2$$

and hence gives the formula. □

Next, we look at classes in the image of $i : K(\mathbb{Z},3) \to BString$ in $E_2$-homology. Note that the universal string bundle is trivial when pulled back along $i$. By equation (2.3) the class $r_K$ is the image of the string Thom class under the map

$$Ti : K(\mathbb{Z},3)_+ \longrightarrow MString.$$

This gives

$$i^*\theta^g = i^*\frac{\psi^g\tau}{\tau} = \frac{\psi^g r_K}{r_K} = r_K^{\det(g)-1}$$

Hence, we obtain for arbitrary $c$

$$\Theta_c(i_*s)(g) = \langle i_*s, \theta^g\psi^g(\tilde{c})\rangle = \langle s, r_K^{\det(g)-1}\psi^g(i^*\tilde{c})\rangle$$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let $q_k \in (E_2)_0K(\mathbb{Z},3)$ be the dual of $\tilde{r}_K^k$. Then it holds*

$$\Theta_{\tilde{r}}(i_*(q_k))(g) = \binom{3\,det(g)-1}{k} - \binom{det(g)-1}{k}.$$

*Proof.* The equality

$$\sum_k \Theta_{\tilde{r}}(i_*(q_k))(g)\tilde{r}_K^k = r_K^{det(g)-1}(r_K^{2det(g)}-1)$$

follows from the fact that $i^*r = r_K^2$, Theorem 3.1 and the calculation above.

□

## Appendix A. The structure of the Morava stabilizer group at the height 2

Let $F$ be the Lubin-Tate formal group law at the height 2. Then the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq End(F)$ operates via $a \mapsto [a]$, and its completion $\mathbb{Z}_2 = lim\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$ by limits of $a$-series.

Furthermore, there is a well-known description

$$End(F) = \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)\langle S\rangle/(S^2 = 2, Sa = \overline{a}S).$$

This is obtained by identifying $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega] = \mathbb{Z}_2\{1, \omega\}$, which has a conjugation $\overline{\omega} = \omega^2$ and a norm $N(z) = z\overline{z}$. The conjugation extends to $D_2$ as the usual conjugation of quaternions, and so does the norm. Let $T = i - j$. The corresponding power series is $g(t) = t^2$, which is an endomorphism as $C$ is already defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and the equation $T^2 = -2$ corresponds to the fact that $[-2]_F(t) = t^4$.

Let $z \in W$ such that $N(z) = -1$. One possible choice for $z$ is $z = \frac{1-2\omega}{\sqrt{-7}}$, where $\sqrt{-7} = (1-8)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2$ can be defined via the binomial series. Then $S = zT$ gives the desired description. However, for us, it will be more useful to regard elements in $\mathbb{S}_2$ given as $a + bT$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega]$.

Recall that as a group, $\mathbb{Z}_2^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the first factor is $1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_2/1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\{\pm 1\}$, and the second factor is $1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2$. Here one needs to look at the series of exponential function (which converges only on $4\mathbb{Z}_2$) and logarithm which give the inverse equivalences. We want to analyze similarly the group structure of $\mathbb{S}_2$.

The ring $\mathcal{O}_2$ is a noncommutative local ring with maximal ideal generated by $S$. The elements in $\mathbb{S}_2$ are the $a + bT$ with $a, b \in W$ and $a$ not divisible by 2. This is because we have the formula

$$(a + bT)^{-1} = \frac{\overline{a + bT}}{N(a + bT)} = \frac{\overline{a} - bT}{N(a) + 2N(b)},$$

for $a + bS \neq 0$. If $a$ is not divisible by 2, then $N(a)$ is not divisible by 2, and the denominator is an odd element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, so the inverse is an element of $\mathcal{O}_2$. If $a$ is divisible by 2 and $b$ is not divisible by 2, then the denominator is an even element of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, but the denominator is not divisible by 2, so $(a + bT)^{-1} \notin \mathcal{O}_2$. If both $a$ and $b$ are divisible by 2, then $a + bT$ is divisible by the non-unit 2, so can't be a unit in $\mathcal{O}_2$.

It follows that $\mathbb{S}_2$ is homeomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \setminus (2\mathbb{Z}_2)^2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2.$$

Now $\mathbb{Z}_2[\omega]/2 \cong \mathbb{F}_4, a_1 + a_2\omega \mapsto a_1 + a_2\alpha$, and we have a group homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_2^\times \to \mathbb{F}_4^\times$, $a + bT \mapsto a \pmod 2$. The kernel $Sl_2$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^4$. Thus

$$Sl_2 \to \mathcal{O}_2^\times \to \mathbb{F}_4^\times$$

is short exact and the last map has a splitting given by powers of $\omega$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{O}_2^\times \cong Sl_2 \rtimes \mathbb{F}_4^\times.$$

Let $\pi = 1 + 2\omega$, then $N(\pi) = 3$. In particular the image under $N : \mathbb{S}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2/\{\pm 1\}$of $\pi$ is a topological generator.

**Proposition A.1.** *(Beaudry) The subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_2$ generated by $G_{24}, \pi$ and $z$ is dense.*

The proof relies on the filtration of $\mathbb{S}_2$ by the subgroups $F_k$ of elements congruent to 1 modulo $T^k$. For $n \geq 1$, we have $gr_n\mathbb{S}_2 = F_n/F_{n+1} \cong \mathbb{F}_4$. Henn notes that the commutator and the squaring maps in $\mathbb{S}_2$ induce maps $gr_n\mathbb{S}_2 \times gr_m\mathbb{S}_2 \to gr_{n+m}\mathbb{S}_2$ and $gr_n\mathbb{S}_2 \to gr_{n+2}\mathbb{S}_2$ and computes these maps. Beaudry shows that using (repeated) commutators and squaring, the elements in $G_{24}, \pi$ and $z$ produce generators for all $gr_n\mathbb{S}_2$.

## References


[Ada65] J. F. Adams, *On the groups $J(X)$. III*, Topology **3** (1965), 193–222. MR 0198469

[AHR] Matt Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Charles Rezk, *Multiplicative orientations of KO-theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms*, unpublished, 2010.

[AHS01] M. Ando, M. J. Hopkins, and N. P. Strickland, *Elliptic spectra, the Witten genus and the theorem of the cube*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 3, 595–687. MR 1869850 (2002g:55009)

[AS01] M. Ando and N. P. Strickland, *Weil pairings and Morava $K$-theory*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 1, 127–156. MR 1791270

[Ati66] M. F. Atiyah, *$K$-theory and reality*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **17** (1966), 367–386. MR 0206940 (34 #6756)

[Ban14] Romie Banerjee, *A modular description of $ER(2)$*, New York J. Math. **20** (2014), 743–758. MR 3262030

[Bea15] Agnès Beaudry, *The algebraic duality resolution at $p = 2$*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **15** (2015), no. 6, 3653–3705. MR 3450774

[Beh07] Mark Behrens, *Buildings, elliptic curves, and the $K(2)$-local sphere*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2007), no. 6, 1513–1563. MR 2369888

[BOSS] Mark Behrens, Kyle Ormsby, Nathaniel Stapleton, and Vesna Stojanoska, *On the ring of cooperations for 2-primary connective topological modular forms*, arXiv:1501.01050, 2015.

[Bot62] Raoul Bott, *A note on the $KO$-theory of sphere-bundles*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1962), 395–400. MR 0153019

[DH95] Ethan S. Devinatz and Michael J. Hopkins, *The action of the Morava stabilizer group on the Lubin-Tate moduli space of lifts*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 3, 669–710. MR 1333942

[HK01] Po Hu and Igor Kriz, *Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 2, 317–399. MR 1808224 (2002b:55032)

[KL02] Nitu Kitchloo and Gerd Laures, *Real structures and Morava $K$-theories*, $K$-Theory **25** (2002), no. 3, 201–214. MR 1909866 (2003i:55005)

[KLW04] Nitu Kitchloo, Gerd Laures, and W. Stephen Wilson, *The Morava $K$-theory of spaces related to $BO$*, Adv. Math. **189** (2004), no. 1, 192–236. MR 2093483 (2005k:55002)

[Lau03] Gerd Laures, *An $E_\infty$ splitting of spin bordism*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 5, 977–1027. MR 2004426

[Lau16] ———, *Characteristic classes in $TMF$ of level $\Gamma_1(3)$*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 10, 7339–7357. MR 3471093

[LO16] Gerd Laures and Martin Olbermann, *$TMF_0(3)$-Characteristic classes for string bundles*, Math. Z. **282** (2016), no. 1-2, 511–533. MR 3448393

[Mil89] Haynes Miller, *The elliptic character and the Witten genus*, Algebraic topology (Evanston, IL, 1988), Contemp. Math., vol. 96, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 281–289. MR 1022688 (90i:55005)

[MR09] Mark Mahowald and Charles Rezk, *Topological modular forms of level 3*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **5** (2009), no. 2, Special Issue: In honor of Friedrich Hirzebruch. Part 1, 853–872. MR 2508904 (2010g:55010)

[Pet] Eric Peterson, *The morava e-theory of eilenberg-mac lane spaces*, arXiv:1109.5989.

[Rez98] Charles Rezk, *Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem*, Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 313–366. MR 1642902

[RW80] Douglas C. Ravenel and W. Stephen Wilson, *The Morava $K$-theories of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces and the Conner-Floyd conjecture*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), no. 4, 691–748. MR 584466 (81i:55005)

[RWY98] Douglas C. Ravenel, W. Stephen Wilson, and Nobuaki Yagita, *Brown-Peterson cohomology from Morava $K$-theory*, $K$-Theory **15** (1998), no. 2, 147–199. MR 1648284 (2000d:55012)

[Wes] Craig Westerland, *A higher chromatic analogue of the image of $j$*, arXiv:1210.2472 , 2013.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, NA1/66, D-44780 Bochum, Germany